

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/593,073	09/15/2006	Hiroshi Kojima	396.46575X00	6460
20457 ANTONELLI	7590 03/26/201 TERRY, STOUT & K		EXAM	IINER
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			VETERE, ROBERT A	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON	, VA 22209-3873		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ====		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593,073 KOJIMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit P

	ROBERT VETERE	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 113 after SIK (6) MOVITS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or catended period for reply with tye state. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 17/040 FR.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. iely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
tatus						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowar 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
isposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
pplication Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	TO-152.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage			
* 0 11 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 000	- C. 15 12 C 1 12 1					

See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Histomatica Disclosure Statement(e) (FTO/S3/00) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/06;7/09. 6) Other: _

S

D

Α

Р

Application/Control Number: 10/593,073

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

A preliminary amendment was received and entered on 9/15/2006. Claims 1-11 are pending of which claims 9-11 are new.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (JP 2000-281973) in light of Suzuki et al. (US 6.626,987) and Crompton et al. (US 4.017,418).

Claims 1-4: Ito teaches a method of forming a plastic lens comprising the steps of providing modified colloid particles of stannic oxide/zirconium oxide (component (A)) and an organosilicon compound (component (B))(Abst.) wherein the colloid particles are provided by a method comprising the steps of: (a) providing colloid particles of stannic oxide having a size of 4-50nm wherein the concentration of stannic oxide is less than 30% by weight (¶ 0010); (b) mixing the stannic oxide sol of (a) with an aqueous solution of an oxy zirconium salt wherein the aqueous solution of an oxy zirconium salt has a concentration of 0.5-50% oxy zirconium salt (¶ 0013), the sol of (a) has a concentration of 0.5-50% stannic oxide (¶ 0007), and the weight ratio of zirconium oxide to stannic oxide in the mixture is 0.02-1.0 (¶ 0014); (c) subjecting the mixture of (b) to a heat treatment at 60-200°C for 0.1-50 hours (¶ 0015); (d) preparing an aqueous solution which contains a tungstate, stannate and silicate (claimed tungsten salt, tin salt and salt of silicic acid) in amounts such that a weight ratio of WO₃:SnO₂ is 0.1-100 and of SiO₂:SnO₂ is 0.1-100 (¶ 0016) and forming a sol by removing cations from the solution (¶ 0020) wherein the particles are 2-7 nm (¶ 0020); (e) mixing the sols of (c) and (d) (¶ 0029) in an amount such that the (2rO₂ + SnO₂) component is 100 parts by weight and the (WO₃ + SnO₂ + SiO₂) component is 2-100 parts

Application/Control Number: 10/593,073

Art Unit: 1792

by weight at 60°C (¶ 0030); and (f) removing the anions in the mixture by contacting the mixture with an anion exchanger (¶ 0034).

While Ito teaches the use of colloidal stannic oxide particles of 4-50nm and a stannic oxide weight concentration of less than 30%, it fails to teach how these particles are formed. Suzuki teaches a method of forming colloidal stannic oxide particles with a diameter of 2-60 nm comprising the steps of mixing hydrogen peroxide and metallic tin such that the molar ratio of H2O2:Sn is 2 and the weight concentration of stannic oxide is 25.2% (14:19-49). Thus, because Ito is silent regarding the formation of stannic oxide colloidal particles and Suzuki teaches a suitable method of forming stannic oxide colloidal particles of a similar size and concentration as those used by Ito, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the colloid stannic oxide particles according to the method of Suzuki in the method of Ito with the predictable expectation of success.

Ito and Suzuki, however, fail to teach the use of an organic acid. Crompton teaches that using an organic acid, such as an oxalic acid (4:24-31) will help stabilize a colloidal sol (4:1-2). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included an oxalic acid in the combined method of ito and Suzuki in order to have stabilized the colloidal sol.

Claims 5 and 9: Ito also teaches that the organosilicon compound is represented by the formula R^1 , $SI(OR^2)_{4:n}$ (¶ 0040) wherein R^1 is a monovalent hydrocarbon with 1-20 carbon atoms, R^2 is an alkyl group having 1-8 carbon atoms, and n is 0, 1 or 2 (¶ 0040). Additionally, Ito teaches that the organosilicon compound is represented by the formula:

wherein R³ and R⁴ each represent an alkyl group having 1-4 carbon atoms, R⁵ and R⁶ each represent a monovalent hydrocarbon group having 1-5 carbon atoms, Y represents a divalent hydrocarbon group with 2-20 carbon atoms, a and b are 0 or 1, and the plurality of OR³ and OR⁴ groups may be the same or different from each other (¶ 0040).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,073 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

Claims 6 and 10: Ito also teaches that the ratio of component (A) to component (B) is 1-500 to 100 parts by weight (¶ 0051).

Claims 7 and 11: Ito also teaches that the composition includes aluminum acetylacetone (¶ 0075).

Claim 8: Ito also teaches that a film is formed by vapor deposition on the hard coat (¶ 0077).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT VETERE whose telephone number is (571)270-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Vetere/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Barbara L. Gilliam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792